USDC SCAN INDEX SHEET

















LMM 12/3/98 12:15

3:98-CR-00519 USA V. BOGART

43

CRDOGR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

98 DEC -3 AM 7: 47 This space for COURT Clerk's Office File Stamp 🔼 U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. MAGISTRATE JÜDĞE TO: FROM: RF MESSIGE RECEIVED TA Deputy Clerk CASE NO.: 98-50519-5M DOCUMENT FILED BY ٧S CASE TITLE: **DOCUMENT ENTITLED:** presentence Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted: Discrepancy Local Rule Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation 5.1.j.5 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper 5.3.c Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely 7.1.e or 47.1.b.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document 7.1.f or 47.1.b.3 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions 7.1.h or 47.1.e Missing table of contents 7.1.hAmended pleading not complete in itself **风** 15.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order 30.1 Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated intrest OTHER: Date forwarded: 12-2-9 ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 1.3. CHAMBERS OF: JEFFREY T. MILLER cc: All Parties

K:\COMMON\FORMS\CIVCRM35.WPD
Form # Civ/Crim 35 (4/98) -- [Document Discrepancy / Court Order]